



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/637,698		08/15/2000	Ki Jun Kim	HI-006 1780		
34610	7590	06/17/2005		EXAMINER		
FLESHNEI P.O. BOX 22		, LLP		DADA, BEEMNET W		
CHANTILL		20153	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		PAPER NUMBER	
				2135		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• 2	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/637,698	KIM ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Beemnet W. Dada	2135					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ress				
THE REPLY FILED 26 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the data of filing a being	f will not be entared	hecause				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			· /·				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed [1-19]. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessated. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An evplanation of the content of the conte	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amended claim 4 and newly added claims 20-24 raise new issues that would require further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Dahlman et al fails to teach generating the secondary scrambling code by shifting the primary scrambling code. Examiner disagrees. Examiner would point out that Dahlman et al teaches the claimed limitations as discussed in the previous office action. Examiner would also point out that Dahlman et al. discloses modifying sequence of binary codes with shift registers to generate scrambling codes that meet the recitation and modifying the code with another code to generate a secondary code. [see for example column 4, line 57- column 5 line 26 and claims 1, and 6].

KIM VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100